

Any Fire Suppression Systems in Subway Station Platforms?

W.K. Chow

Research Centre for Fire Engineering, Department of Building Services Engineering
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

The two railway corporations, in which most of the shares are held by the government, underwent a merger in 2007. The two groups had different fire safety practices, and the merged corporation should be more vigilant in fire safety of crowded subway stations, particularly those deep underground [1-3]. Concerns were raised immediately by the public after the merger 5 years ago. Over 50 station platforms – which are not equipped with sprinklers – were identified [4].

It was roughly estimated that burning an empty train car would give [5] a peak heat release rate of 17 MW on the local Ma On Shan railway line. In-depth studies indicated that burning an empty train car can result in a peak heat release rate which is up to 20 MW, as suggested by Korean and Japanese experts [6]. Many passengers, known as ‘parallel traders’, carry a lot of luggage when they board the train as in Fig. 1, particularly from Sheung Shui Station [7] to Lo Wu Station. It should be noted that burning a Heavy Goods Vehicle (HGV) would give a peak heat release rate of 200 MW in full-scale burning tests [8]. Therefore, it is not exaggerating to say that burning a train car with luggage can induce a peak heat release higher than 50 MW, unless full-scale burning data on local combustible products is available.

The merged company did not inform the general public about the measures which are deployed to suppress big fires in the station platform. It is not clear how big fire resulted from burning a train car packed with luggage, if occurred in those subway station platforms, can be controlled by the railway management. Therefore, the daunting task of fighting big fires, presumably, would be left to our brave firemen. Some of the fire-fighters were killed in several disastrous fires, which broke out in buildings without sprinklers [9-11]. The management did not explain why sprinkler systems were not installed in all subway station platforms. Over 70,000 passengers were affected by fire and ventilation provision in the past 30 years [12]. An arson fire broke out [13] in 2004, fortunately it did not lead to disaster. The chance of having a fire is not low at all. There are hidden fire safety problems behind those projects, which do not comply with prescriptive fire codes [14], as raised recently by the author [15] after the Fa Yuen Street fire. The recommended peak heat release rate of design

fire in the draft new code, which is set at 6.5 MW, is far too low[16], when compared with 20 MW [6] suggested by Korean and Japanese experts for train fires.

Sprinkler systems are effective in controlling the scale of fire [17] and avoiding big disasters by:

- Direct action on the burning objects.
- Cooling the smoke layer to lower the chance of flashover.
- Pre-wetting the adjacent combustibles to delay ignition.
- Displacing oxygen from the burning objects.

Fire safety provisions in subway station platforms should be reviewed carefully with support from in-depth research, and a few demonstration tests [5] and simulation of emergency evacuation with robotic motions [18,19] are not sufficient. Installation of sprinkler system is also inadequate, though the fire statistics indicated that the system was effective in controlling big fires. Appropriate fire suppression system [1,20] should be set up accordingly. It should be noted that water mist systems had been installed in train cars and areas adjacent to platform screen doors in some subway stations of China [21]. The effectiveness of such systems should be further examined by systematic research.

In implementing performance-based design, it was assumed [20] that sufficient research on fire science and engineering had already been conducted. However, the research is only done in advanced countries. The applicability of such works in the Far East was not demonstrated by in-depth investigation. It should be noted that most of the performance-based design projects in the Far East were not carried out just because of difficulties to comply with the code. Cost reduction is a key factor [21] in using performance-based design. In this particular case of failing to provide fire suppression system in subway station platforms, a very small design fire below 5 MW was allowed in overseas advanced countries. However, very few advanced countries have huge volumes [22] of passengers, who have to stay in the platforms as in Figs. 2 and 3. In other countries, there were no ‘parallel traders’ as observed in Fig. 1. It should be noted that even advanced countries have only commenced research to study heat release rate in burning train cars with luggage recently [23]!

In conclusion, fire safety in crowded subway stations should be reviewed carefully to comply with fire codes [e.g. 14]. At least, sprinklers should be installed.

References

1. W.K. Chow, “Updating fire safety for subway systems in Hong Kong”, 1st International Workshop/Symposium on Mass Transit Rail Facilities Design and Management (1st ISMTRFDM 2011), 4-5 August 2011, Suzhou, China (2011).
2. W.K. Chow, “Fire safety concerns for subway systems in Hong Kong”, Fire Safety Asia Conference (FiSAC) 2011, Suntec, Singapore, 12-14 October (2011).
3. W.K. Chow, “Observed fire safety concerns for subway systems in Hong Kong”, Fire-Asia 2012, Hong Kong Convention and Exhibition Centre, Hong Kong, 8-10 February (2012).
4. Oriental Daily News, “No sprinkler system at 53 MTR platforms”, p. A22, Hong Kong, 10 April (2007) – in Chinese.
5. M.C. Luo and K. Wong, “Ma On Shan Rail system-wide fire safety strategy: approach and justification”, Consultancy Report, The Arup Journal, Vol. 3, p. 40-42 (2007).
<http://www.arup.com/assets/download/7D52C0BB-19BB-316E-40B5AE8D249C932B.pdf>
6. Proceedings of 2011 Exchange Meeting for SFPE Asia-Oceania Chapters – Transportation Fire Safety, Korea Railroad Research Institute & SFPE Korean Chapter, Seoul, Korea, 28 April (2011).
7. The Sun, “Parallel traders caught at Sheung Shui Station”, Hong Kong, 16 December (2010) - In Chinese.
8. W.K. Chow “Several points to note in performance-based design for fire safety provisions in Hong Kong” The National Symposium on Fire Safety Science and Engineering, 14-16 October 2010, Beijing, China (2010).
9. The Standard, “One fireman killed, 6 hurt in firestorm”, Hong Kong, 23 May 2007.
10. The Standard, “Inferno heroes mourned”, Hong Kong, 11 August 2008.
11. The Standard, “Fire veteran dies and 3 hurt in factory hell”, Hong Kong, 9 March 2010.
12. W.K. Chow, L. Qu and Edgar C.L. Pang, “Incidents on fire and ventilation provision in subway systems in Hong Kong”, International Journal of Engineering Performance-Based Fire Codes, Vol. 10, No. 3, p. 41-47 (2011).
13. South China Morning Post, “14 injured in peak-hour MTR arson attack”, Editorial, p. A1, Hong Kong, 6 January (2004).
14. Codes of Practice for Minimum Fire Service Installations and Equipment and Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Installations and Equipment, Fire Services Department, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2005).
15. W.K. Chow, “Lesson learnt from the Fa Yuen Street Big Fire”, Department of Building Services Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong (2012) – In Chinese. Available at:

http://www.bse.polyu.edu.hk/researchCentre/Fire_Engineering/Hot_Issues.html

16. Code of Practice for Fire Safety in Buildings 2011, Buildings Department, The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Draft version released for public consultation in September (2011).
17. W.K. Chow and N.K. Fong, “Application of field modelling technique to simulate interaction of sprinkler and fire-induced smoke layer”, *Combustion Science and Technology*, Vol. 89, p. 101-151 (1993).
18. V. Babrauskas, J.M. Fleming and B.D. Russell, “RSET/ASET, a flawed concept for fire safety assessment”, *Fire and Materials*, Vol. 34, pp. 341-355 (2010).
19. W.K. Chow, “Comment on ‘RSET/ASET, a flawed concept for fire safety assessment’ by V. Babrauskas, J.M. Fleming and B.D. Russell, *Fire and Materials*, Vol. 34, pp. 341-355 (2010)”, Letter to the Editor, *Fire and Materials* – Accepted to publish, March (2012).
20. Questions and Answers Session in the Afternoon Session on 10 February 2012, Fire-Asia 2012, Hong Kong Convention and Exhibition Centre, Hong Kong, 8-10 February (2012).
21. Proceedings of Fire Safety Asia Conference (FiSAC) 2011, 12-14 October 2011, Suntec, Singapore (2011).
21. Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop/Symposium on Mass Transit Rail Facilities Design and Management (1st ISMTRFDM 2011), 4-5 August 2011, Suzhou, China (2011).
22. C.S. Jiang, F. Li, W.K. Chow and X.L. Wang, “Lessons learnt from crowding at two railway stations in China during the spring festival”, The 9th IIASA-DPRI FORUM on Integrated Disaster Risk Management, 12-16 October 2009, Kyoto, Japan (2009).
23. A. Lönnemark, J. Lindström and Y.Z. Li, “Baggage and ventilation play an important part in the spread of fire in railway carriages”, *Brandposten #44*, p. 24 (2011).

AnyRisk2C



**Figure 1: Parallel traders (水貨客) gathering at Sheung Shui Station, Hong Kong
(from The Sun, 16 December 2010)**

Zhengzhou



**Figure 2: At Zhengzhou Station, Zhengzhou, Henan, China
(from Jiang et al. 2009)**



**Figure 3: At the north square outside the Beijing West Station, Beijing, China
(from Jiang et al. 2009)**